



BEAM STUDIES IN THE PS BOOSTER: HEAD-TAIL INSTABILITY

Vladimir Kornilov, GSI Darmstadt

Machine operation: Alan Findlay, Sandra Aumon, Bettina Mikulec, Giovanni Rumolo







Vladimir Kornilov, Beam Studies in the PS Booster, CERN, August 29, 2012





once the transverse feedback system is switched off: strong transverse oscillations and losses (within ms)



🖬 🖬 🗴 INSTABILITY: AN EXAMPLE



The instability:

a standing-wave structure with the ξ-wiggles within; here 3 knots, i.e. k=3 mode (rarely that nice)

nice exponential growth

slower then the synchrotron motion, $\Delta Q/Q_s < 0.3$

an unstable head-tail mode



GSi INSTABILITY: AN EXAMPLE



an example for the exponential growth The instability at C392ms:

 $\Delta Q=3.1e-4,$ $\tau=0.53ms,$ $\Delta Q/Q_{s}=0.16$

a general assumption so far has been: driven by the Resistive-Wall Impedance



CERN

Instability at C386ms single rf

 N_{p} =370e10 ΔQ =2.3e-4, $\Delta Q/Q_{s}$ =0.13

the mode k=3 the mode structure is not an ideal head-tail, it is modified by the impedance





Instability at C386ms single rf, higher intensity

 $N_{p} = 600e10$ $\Delta Q = 4.4e-4,$ $\Delta Q/Q_{s} = 0.24$

the mode structure is stronger deformed by the driving impedance



CERN

Instability at C491ms single rf

 N_{p} =380e10 ΔQ =0.6e-4, $\Delta Q/Q_{s}$ =0.064

the mode k=4? higher mode index for later CTimes



ES INSTABILITY



0.4 0.3 A PU Signal (arb. units) 0.2 0.1 **Instability at C383ms** 0 -0.1 single rf, -0.2 large transverse emittance -0.3 -0.4 -0.5 N_p=400e10 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 ∆t (µs) the mode k=3 5 no clear effect of weaker Σ PU Signal (arb. units) 4 space charge 3 2 0 -1 -2 -3 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 0 ∆t (µs)

G S R F BUCKET: **DOUBLE RF**



double rf, standard at PSB

the h=2 cavity is shifted, the voltage of the h=2 cavity is the same (8kV).









GSRFBUCKET: **DOUBLE RF**





GSRFBUCKET: **DOUBLE RF**





CERN

Instability at C384ms double rf, short bunch

 $N_p = 610e10$ $\Delta Q = 3.8e-4,$ $Q_s = 3.17e-3$ $\Delta Q/Q_s = 0.12$

the mode k=2, result of a shorter bunch





Instability at C493ms double rf, short bunch

N_p=500e10 Q_s=1.62e-3

the mode k=4 higher mode index for later CTimes



CERN

Instability at C394ms double rf, PSB standard

 N_{p} =500e10 ΔQ =1.3e-4 $\Delta Q/Q_{s}$ =0.071

a complex mode structure in double rf



ES INSTABILITY



Instability at C394ms double rf, PSB standard higher intensity

N_p=950e10

looks like the k=2 mode in the more dense, tail half of the bunch







CERN

Instability at C385ms single rf, V₀=4kV (until now 8kV)

 N_p =400e10 (near the threshold) ΔQ =2.2e-4 Q_s =0.66e-3 $\Delta Q/Q_s$ =0.33







questions appear

At PSB we observe	Generally we know
the instability occurs at reproducible cycle times	triggering of a collective instability is irregular (example: at PS flat-bottom)
the instability is always and only in the horizontal plane	the vertical Resistive-Wall impedance at PSB is larger then the horizontal
the instability has a clear intensity threshold, depending on settings	the head-tail instability has no intensity threshold
higher mode index <i>k</i> at later Ctimes; lower mode index <i>k</i> for shorter bunches; mode structure deformed by the Z _L ; no clear effect of weaker space-charge	

🖬 🖬 🗴 GROWTH RATES SUMMARY





🖬 🖬 🗴 GROWTH RATES SUMMARY



4 As the imaginary 3.5 part of the 3 frequency, Θ lm(∆ω) (10³ rad/s) or the instability 2.5 Θ 0 increment 2 1.5 single rf $V_0 = 8kV$ 0.5 the dashed line 0 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 crosses the origin Particle Number (10¹⁰ ppb)





As the imaginary part of the 4 frequency, 3.5 or the instability 3 increment 2.5 2



🖬 🚍 🏛 GROWTH RATES SUMMARY



Normalized by the synchrotron 0.4 frequency: 0.35 0 0 0.3 8 this is always Θ 0.25 $\Delta Q_{ht} \,/\, Q_s$ 0 large, 0 0 thus the mode 0.2 0 0000 structures are 0.15 Θ strongly 0.1 modified 0.05 0 200 300 500 600 800 400 700 900 Ο single rf Particle Number (10¹⁰ ppb) double rf flat bunch X double rf standard







🖬 🖬 🗴 GROWTH RATES SUMMARY









bunch length for the ε_z =const, and measurements for single rf, V₀=8kV



ES INCH DURING THE RAMP



synchrotron frequency and the synchrotron tune for single rf, V₀=8kV







the space-charge tune
shift
(rms-equiv. K-V beam) $\Delta Q_{sc} = \frac{\lambda_0 r_p R}{\gamma^3 \beta^2 \varepsilon_{\perp}}$ the space-charge
parameter $q = \frac{\Delta Q_{sc}}{Q_s}$ Elliptic cross-section:
($\varepsilon_x, \varepsilon_y$ rms emittances,
 ε_{\perp} total for the rms-equivalent K-V) $\varepsilon_{\perp} = 2(\varepsilon_x + \sqrt{\varepsilon_x \varepsilon_y \frac{Q_{0x}}{Q_{0y}}})$

Gaussian profile: $\Delta Q_{
m sc}^{
m max} = 2 \Delta Q_{
m sc}$

Space-charge tune spread:

- different transverse amplitudes
- density variation along the bunch

G S SPACE CHARGE AT PSB





very strong space charge regime => a minor change not crucial; no Landau damping for the relevant (k<6) head-tail modes

Vladimir Kornilov, Beam Studies in the PS Booster, CERN, August 29, 2012

G 🖬 🗴 SPACE CHARGE AT PSB





Vladimir Kornilov, Beam Studies in the PS Booster, CERN, August 29, 2012

the eigenfrequencies of the bunch head-tail modes for the airbag bunch model with arbitrary space-charge and coherent force:

$$egin{aligned} \Delta Q_{m{k}} &= -rac{\Delta Q_{
m sc} + \Delta Q_{
m coh}}{2} \pm \sqrt{iggl(rac{\Delta Q_{
m sc} - \Delta Q_{
m coh}}{2}iggr)^2 + k^2 Q_s^2} \ & rac{\Delta Q_{m{k}}}{Q_s} &= -rac{q}{2}igl(1 + rac{\Delta Q_{
m coh}}{\Delta Q_{
m sc}}igr) \pm \sqrt{rac{q^2}{4}igl(1 - rac{\Delta Q_{
m coh}}{\Delta Q_{
m sc}}igr)^2 + k^2} \end{aligned}$$

O.Boine-Frankenheim, V.Kornilov, PRSTAB **12**, 114201 (2009) V.Kornilov, O.Boine-Frankenheim, PRSTAB **13**, 114201 (2010) M.Blaskiewicz, PRSTAB **1**, 044202 (1998)

G S PACE CHARGE

with space charge only, ΔQ_{coh}=0

the k=0 mode is not affected; the positive modes close to Q₀; the negative modes close to the incoherent tune and are strongly damped

G SPACE CHARGE & IMAGE CHARGES

with a coherent tune shift, $\Delta Q_{coh}/\Delta Q_{sc} = 0.1$ the k=0 mode: $\Delta Q = -\Delta Q_{coh}$ the k>0 modes enter the incoherent spectrum $-2\Delta Q_{sc} < \Delta Q < 0$ => Landau damping

🖬 🖬 👖 IMAGE CHARGES AT PSB

Landau damping is stronger in the vertical plane; the damping contribution decreases along the cycle. **This may contribute to the horizontal exclusiveness and to the later occurrence in CTime**

Vladimir Kornilov, Beam Studies in the PS Booster, CERN, August 29, 2012

🖬 🖬 🗴 IMAGE CHARGES AT PSB

The real ΔQ_{coh} is larger then the synchrotron tune.

During the cycle, other transverse impedance must cause mode coupling and a fast TMCI. Space-charge tune shifts prevent it.

This might be an experimental proof of the mode coupling suppression by space charge

Theory predictions: Blaskiewicz prstab 1998; Burov prstab 2009

Vladimir Kornilov, Beam Studies in the PS Booster, CERN, August 29, 2012

F.Sacherer 1974

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Delta Q_{m k} &=& rac{\Upsilon}{1+k} rac{\sum (-i) Z_{\perp}(\omega_{m p}) h_{m k}(\omega_{m p}-\omega_{m \xi})}{\sum h_{m k}(\omega_{m p}-\omega_{m \xi})} \ & \omega_{m p} &=& (p+Q_0) \omega_0 + k \omega_s \end{array}$$

G S I HEAD-TAIL SPECTRUM AT PSB

Evolution along the cycle of the chromaticity frequency shift (the k=0 mode) and the spectrum position of the *k*=4 mode.

Beam spectrum of the k=2 mode for different bunch profiles: the sinusoidal bunch, the Gaussian bunch.

A realistic bunch is more complicated

This causes some uncertainty for the impedance the bunch couples to.

To cope with this difference, the spectrum of a sinusoidal bunch can be stretched, here by a factor 1.4.

the sinusoidal bunch the Gaussian bunch

The spectrum width is also an uncertainty factor. Here the bunch spectrum of the k=4 mode for the sinusoidal bunch, the Gaussian bunch.

G S HEAD-TAIL SPECTRUM AT PSB

Evolution of the lower (unstable) bunch spectrum part along the PSB cycle.

Higher-order modes cross the Resistive-Wall Impedance later in Ctime.

G S I UNSTABLE HEAD-TAIL MODE AT PSB

As the bunch spectrum migrates during the cycle, it can become unstable due to coupling to the Resistive-Wall impedance, here a "narrowband" impedance.

Another low-frequency (MHz) narrowband impedance can not be ruled out.

G S I UNSTABLE HEAD-TAIL MODE AT PSB

Growth rates of the head-tail modes along the PSB cycle, as given by the Sacherer model, for the Resistive-Wall impedance

the main uncertainty for the quantitive estimations is due to the bunch spectrum (here a preliminary example for ξ =-0.8, detailed analysis in progress)

G S I HEAD-TAIL SPECTRUM AT PSB

Lower-order headtail modes for shorter bunches.

Evolution of the *k*=2 lower spectrum peak along the PSB cycle.

ER

G S i VARYING THE HORIZONTAL TUNE

By changing the lattice tunes a (small) systematic shift of the instability Ctime has been observed. Q_h =4.19: around C384 Q_h =4.20: around C386 Q_h =4.23: around C389 Q_h =4.25: around C392

G S HEAD-TAIL SPECTRUM AT PSB

0 Q_h=4.26 Q_h=4.20 Q_h=4.14 Effect of the lattice -0.5 betatron tune on the evolution of the f_k (MHz) frequency position for the k=4 mode. -1 -1.5 -2 300 400 350 450 500 Cycle Time (ms)

The unstable head-tail modes observed during the PSB ramp are normally strongly deformed by the impedance

Intensity thresholds, growth rates and the mode structure are compared for single rf bucket and for double rf types: PSB standard, flat-bunch, short-bunch

The PSB bunches are in the strong space-charge regime

The Landau damping due to image charges with the direct space charge, if strong enough for PSB parameters, may contribute to the horizontal instability and to the later Ctimes of the instability

Analysis of the time evolution of the head-tail modes according to the Sacherer theory can explain higher-order modes for later Ctimes, lower-order modes for shorter bunches, and the Resistive-Wall impedance (or a low-frequency norrowband) as the driving force.