# Recent instabilities observed in 

 the LHCN. Mounet \& E. Métral

Thanks to: OP team, collimation team, R. Steinhagen.

## Summary of the various cases

|  | 24/03/2012 | 30/03/2012 | 31/03/2012 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| General conditions | Single bunch, flat top ( $4 \mathrm{TeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | Two bunches, $\beta^{\star}=0.6 \mathrm{~m}$ ( 4 $\mathrm{TeV} / \mathrm{c}$ ) | Two bunches, $\beta^{\star}=0.6 \mathrm{~m}(4 \mathrm{TeV} / \mathrm{c})$ |
| B1 intensity | $1.0510^{11} \mathrm{p}+/$ bunch | $1.110^{11} \mathrm{p}+/ \mathrm{bunch}$ | $0.810^{11} \mathrm{p}+/$ bunch |
| B2 intensity | $0.810^{11} \mathrm{p}+/$ bunch | $10^{11} \mathrm{p}+/$ bunch | $0.910^{11} \mathrm{p}+/$ bunch |
| Bunch length | 1.1 ns | 1.1 ns | 1.15 ns |
| B1 norm. $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$ | $2.8 / 2.7 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{rad}$ | $2.1 / 1.8 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{rad}$ | $1.8 / 0.9 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{rad}$ |
| B2 norm. $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{x}} / \varepsilon_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 1.5 / $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{rad}$ | 2.2 / $2.4 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{rad}$ | 1.3 / $1.5 \mu \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{rad}$ |
| $\mathrm{B1} \mathrm{Q}_{x}^{\prime} / \mathrm{Q}^{\prime}$ | $0 \rightarrow 5$ (?) | $(-4 \rightarrow 4) ? / 3$ | $2 / 2$ |
| $\mathrm{B} 2 \mathrm{Q}_{x}^{\prime}$ / $\mathrm{Q}_{y}^{\prime}$ | $0 \rightarrow 5(?)$ | $3 / 3$ | $2 / 2$ |
| Octupoles (foc.) | -232 A | -232 A | -232 A |
| RF voltage | 12 MV | 12 MV | 12 MV |
| $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{x}} / \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{y}}$ | 0.28 / 0.31 | 0.31 / 0.32 | 0.31 / 0.32 |
| Coll. settings | Closer than tight settings | Tight settings | Tight settings except one TCP in IR3 for B1 (closer) |
| Observations | B2 H unstable (23:07) | B2 H/V unstable (16:35) | B1 H (V ?) unstable (19:21 $\rightarrow$ 19:34) <br> B2 H/V unstable (18:10) |

## Collimator settings on 24/03/2012

- Collimators were being moved in parallel at that time $\rightarrow$ many collimators were at much tighter settings than the "normal" tight settings:



## Collimator settings on 31/03/2012

- For B1, one TCP in IR3 is much closer to the beam than foreseen in the tight settings:



## Instability on 24/03/2012

- Observations: coherent motion visible on the BBQ signal for B2 horizontal only, right before the losses:



## Instability on 24/03/2012

- Analysis of the BBQ data with SUSSIX on a sliding window:

$\rightarrow$ The amplitude of the main tune line grows with a rise time similar to the one of the previous slide (same data, different way to fit).

$\rightarrow$ Many unexplained lines appear on the tune spectra.


## Instability on 30/03/2012

- Observations: slow coherent motion visible on the BBQ signal for B2:


B2 horizontal


B2 vertical

## Instability on 30/03/2012

- Analysis of the BBQ data with SUSSIX on a sliding window:

$\rightarrow$ The amplitude of the main tune line grows with a rise time similar to the one of the previous slide.

$\rightarrow$ Also unexplained lines appear on the tune spectra.


## Instability on 31/03/2012

- Observations: slow coherent motion followed by faster peaks on the BBQ signal of B1 horizontal (B1 vertical similar but weaker) :



## Instability on 31/03/2012

- Observations: very slow coherent motion visible on the BBQ signal for B2:


B2 horizontal


B2 vertical

## Summary

> Clear coherent instabilities were observed in three different configurations, mainly for B2 but in one case for B1 as well.

- The horizontal plane seems more affected than the vertical one.
- Only 230 A in the octupoles for all three cases.
- In two out of three cases, collimators at settings tighter than the "normal" tight settings in IR3 (most critical collimators) and emittances smaller than $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.rad.
, b3 decay at flat top was not yet compensated $\rightarrow$ increase $Q_{x}^{\prime}$ by ~3 units, decrease $Q_{y}^{\prime}$ by -3 units $\rightarrow$ can be a tentative explanation of why the horizontal plane seems more affected ( $Q^{\prime}$ ~ 5-6 is more critical than slightly negative $Q^{\prime}$ for which the feedback stabilizes the beam).
$\Rightarrow$ more studies to be done (and comparison with model) before drawing any conclusions,
$\Rightarrow$ in collimation MD (end of April) we will study instabilities with tight settings.

